Message		
From: Sent: To: CC: Subject: Attachments:	Andrew Kazin [akazin@stcwlaw.com] 9/5/2016 2:47:38 PM Doyle, James [Doyle.James@epa.gov] Thomas Stagg [tstagg@stcwlaw.com]; Aronoff, Peter (USANYS) (Peter.Aronoff@usdoj.gov) [Peter.Aronoff@usdoj.gov]; Gaughan, Daniel [Gaughan.Daniel@epa.gov] RE: Canadian Radium Sampling Results removed.txt	
-	g back with you on this and will call tomorrow to follow up. Did the second half of the EPA results f not is there a new expected date for their release?	
Thank you.	not is there a new expected date for their release:	
	Andrew Kazin 401 Franklin Avenue, Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530 akazin@stcwlaw.com www.stcwlaw.com D: 516.812.4505 O: 516.812.4500 F: 516.812.4605	
information If you are r	ge is being sent from a law firm and may contain CONFIDENTIAL or PRIVILEGED	
To: 'Doyle, Cc: Thomas	esday, August 31, 2016 3:29 PM	
Jim:		
Any further	word on this?	

Andrew Kazin

401 Franklin Avenue, Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530

akazin@stcwlaw.com | www.stcwlaw.com

Thank you.

Andy

D: 516.812.4505 | O: 516.812.4500 | F: 516.812.4605

This message is being sent from a law firm and may contain CONFIDENTIAL or PRIVILEGED information.

If you are not the intended recipient, do not print out, copy or distribute this message or any attachments. Advise the sender immediately by reply email and delete this message and attachments without retaining a copy.

From: Doyle, James [mailto:Doyle.James@epa.gov] Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2016 2:00 PM
To: Andrew Kazin
Cc: Thomas Stagg; Aronoff, Peter (USANYS) (Peter.Aronoff@usdoj.gov); Gaughan, Daniel Subject: RE: Canadian Radium Sampling Results
The expected completion date for the validated data is August 31, 2016.
From: Andrew Kazin [mailto:akazin@stcwlaw.com] Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2016 11:36 AM To: Doyle, James < Doyle.James@epa.gov > Cc: Thomas Stagg < tstagg@stcwlaw.com >; Aronoff, Peter (USANYS) (Peter.Aronoff@usdoj.gov) < Peter.Aronoff@usdoj.gov > Subject: RE: Canadian Radium Sampling Results
Jim:
Any ETA yet on when we can expect the remainder of the EPA test results? Thanks
Andy
Andrew Kazin
401 Franklin Avenue, Suite 300
Garden City, New York 11530

akazin@stcwlaw.com | www.stcwlaw.com

D: 516.812.4505 | O: 516.812.4500 | F: 516.812.4605

This message is being sent from a law firm and may contain CONFIDENTIAL or PRIVILEGED information. If you are not the intended recipient, do not print out, copy or distribute this message or any attachments. Advise the sender immediately by reply email and delete this message and attachments without retaining a copy.

From: Thomas Stagg

Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2016 2:57 PM

To: 'Doyle, James'

Subject: RE: Canadian Radium Sampling Results

Thanks Jim. Any updates we can get are appreciated.

Tom

	Thomas E. Stagg
· Service of the control of the cont	401 Franklin Avenue, Suite 300
	Garden City, New York 11530
	tstagg@stcwlaw.com www.stcwlaw.com

Thomas F Stage

D: 516.812.4501 | O: 516.812.4500 | F: 516.812.4601

This message is being sent from a law firm and may contain CONFIDENTIAL or PRIVILEGED information. If you are not the intended recipient, do not print out, copy or distribute this message or any attachments. Advise the sender immediately by reply email and delete this message and attachments without retaining a copy.

From: Doyle, James [mailto:Doyle.James@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 5:23 PM

To: Thomas Stagg

Subject: RE: Canadian Radium Sampling Results

Tom, sorry, I know my reply is overdue.

I'm still waiting on word from my client. Spoke to him today and he is checking with the labs.

We are not in a position to incur additional costs to expedite these results, but if the additional week (since my 6/7 message) means there is new info, I'll share it.

From: Thomas Stagg [mailto:tstagg@stcwlaw.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 3:12 PM
To: Doyle, James < <u>Doyle James@epa.gov</u>>
Subject: Canadian Radium Sampling Results

Jim,

I am not trying to be a pest here, but as I mentioned in my voicemails, our client has a potential buyer for the Mount Kisco property who is anxious to get its hands on the latest sampling results. If there is anything that can be done to expedite the process, we would be most appreciative.

Tom

Thomas E. Stagg 401 Franklin Avenue, Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530 tstagg@stcwlaw.com | www.stcwlaw.com

D: 516.812.4501 | O: 516.812.4500 | F: 516.812.4601

This message is being sent from a law firm and may contain CONFIDENTIAL or PRIVILEGED information. If you are not the intended recipient, do not print out, copy or distribute this message or any attachments. Advise the sender immediately by reply email and delete this message and attachments without retaining a copy.

On Jun 7, 2016, at 4:06 PM, Doyle, James < Doyle. James @epa.gov > wrote:

Mr. Kazin,

I understand you have been in contact with Daniel Gaughan, EPA's on-scene coordinator for the above-referenced matter, regarding sampling results.

As you probably know, EPA collected approximately 160 soil samples from the site in early April 2016. Approximately half of the samples were sent to a private laboratory. The other half of the samples were sent to the U.S. NAREL laboratory in Alabama for analysis. The private lab is extremely busy with field work and has not yet validated the radiological data. We do not have a time frame on how long that will take, nor do we have a time frame on when we will receive any validated data from the NAREL lab. I believe Mr. Gaughan has explained this situation to your environmental contractor. Your contractor also asked if we could expedite the validation or if your radiological expert could review any preliminary data.

We do not release preliminary data. As for expediting any results, there are increased costs associated with that, which we are not prepared to incur. We will provide you with the validated results when we receive them. We do not have an estimate on when that will be, notwithstanding our request for such an estimate. Feel free to check in on the status of the data in a month to six weeks, if you have not heard from us by then.

Lastly, and please do not misconstrue the tenor of this comment, but seeing as you are in litigation with the United States regarding this Site, and Dan Gaughan (and I) are employees of the United States and, in theory, represented by the U.S. Attorney's office in that matter, I would ask that you contact me rather than Mr. Vaughn regarding these types of requests in the future. I believe Mr. Aronoff at the SDNY is amenable to you contacting me (even though he would be representing me, too) for these type of perfunctory requests.

Thx.

[Ref#STG.16799]